

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,148	07/31/2003	Ron Maurer	100110348-1		6500	
7590 10/17/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY				EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Adminsitration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400				CHU, RANDOLPH I		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2624		
		·	· L	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•			10/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 10/631,148 MAURER, RON Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit 2624 Randolph Chu --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 9/27/2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

> MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (. Bella

13. ☐ Other: .

**AMENDMENTS** 

appeal; and/or

non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

## Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed on September 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argue on page 11 of the response that the disclosure of August's teaching are applicable to an entirely different type of filter than the bilateral filter employed in Applicant's claimed invention.

The examiner disagrees. The Taylor series is a representation of a function as an infinite sum of terms calculated from the values of its derivatives at a single point. August teaches a function can be represented as Taylor series to make simpler and efficient filter. And This idea can be applied to bilateral filter to make simpler and efficient bilateral filter.

Therefore, it would been obvious to combine August with Tomasi and Manduchi to obtain the invention.